

# Governor's Water Augmentation Council

## Long-Term Water Augmentation Committee

### February 26, 2019 Meeting Summary

**Time: 10:00am – 2:00 pm**

**Location: Arizona Department of Water Resources**

#### *I. Welcome and Opening Remarks*

Chairman Wade Noble called the meeting to order and welcomed those in attendance.

#### *II. Introductions*

The following members of the Long-Term Water Augmentation Committee were present: Wade Noble, Bruce Hallin, Sarah Porter, Scott Deeny, Bill Plummer, Chris Udall, Warren Tenney, Virginia O'Connell. In attendance from the consultant's project team were Richard Humphreys, Rupal Pandya, Christopher Rife, Guy Carpenter, Juliet McKenna. In attendance from ADWR staff were Gerry Walker and Cyndi Ruehl.

#### *III. Review water augmentation project fact sheets*

Richard Humphreys, Carollo Engineers, lead the Committee through the process of reviewing each drafted project fact sheet. Discussion of edits and changes focused on shortening some narrative sections, ordering of the project fact sheets in the final report, appropriateness of adding data, delineating projects that are augmentation and others that are best practices, addition of useable reference materials, addition of a cost and comparison section in the final report, adjustments to layout. The Committee reviewed and commented on fifteen out of twenty-four projects laid out in the project fact sheets.

#### *IV. Review augmentation options applied to planning areas*

Projects applicable to specific planning areas in specific time frames were addressed during the discussion of the fact sheets.

#### *V. Review the process required to permit and construct a desalination plant*

The Committee discussed and commented on the desalination process in the study.

#### *VI. Review a draft report outline*

Discussion centered around defining the audience for the report. The audience is many levels: from boards, technical personnel, planning area community residents and legislators. The report needs to include an Executive Summary that can succinctly address the highlights of the study. The report also should clearly point out the challenges of implementing some of these projects, such as costs, permits, etc. Changes to the presentation order of the projects/concepts in the report were agreed upon.

#### *VII. Closing remarks and next meeting Date*

Chairman Noble confirmed with the consultant that he had enough information to proceed with revisions of the report. It was agreed the Committee members would send their additional comments to Richard within the next week. Carollo will make adjustments in the study, have copy ready for another review and meet again to continue review of the study material and report outline on March 25<sup>th</sup> 10:00-2:00p.m.